Pedogenesis and Soil Classification in Volcanic Area under the Pine Forest Vegetation in West Java, Indonesia

By:

Rina Devnita



Paper Presented in International Seminar International Conference of Arts and Sciences Freiburg, Germany April 3-6, 2011

Pedogenesis and Soil Classification in Volcanic Area under the Pine Forest Vegetation in West Java, Indonesia

Rina Devnita

Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Padjadjaran University Jl. Raya Bandung-Sumedang km 21, Bandung 40600 Email: <u>devnitarina@unpad.ac.id</u>,

Abstract

Melanic and fulvic Andisols are the great groups in the classification of volcanic soils Andisols, which describe the soils with the high organic carbon content in the epipedon. The organic C must be more than 6% in the upper 30 cm with the value and chroma are 2 or less for melanic and more than 2 for fulvic. Melanic epipedon also has to have the melanic index that is 1.70 or less. The objective of this study was to investigate the melanic and fulvic Andisols in the volcanic soils that developed under the pine forest vegetation from different parent materials and ages of Mt. Tangkuban Parahu (andesitic, Holocene) and Mt. Tilu (basaltic, Pleistocene). The method used was a descriptive comparative survey of three profiles in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu and three profiles in Mt. Tilu. Analyses were done for each horizon in the profiles comprise of the investigation of andic soil properties through the analyses of organic C, bulk density, $Al + \frac{1}{2}$ Fe (ammonium oxalate) and P-retention. The investigations were continued by further calculation of organic C content and by investigation of soil color with Munsell Soil Color Chart. The results showed that the two profiles in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu and two profiles in Mt. Tilu are fulvic Andisol. No melanic Andisols found in both locations. Pine forest vegetation encourage the formation of fulvic Andisols either from andesitic-Holocene parent materials or basaltic-Pleistocene parent materials.

Keywords: andesitic, basaltic, Holocene, Pleistocene, Pinus merkusii

INTRODUCTION

The term of volcanic soils is used to *designate* soils formed from tephras or pyroclastics materials which weather rapidly. These soils can be classified as Andisols (Soil Survey Staff, 1990), or Andosol (World Reference Base, 2006). The name of Andisols or Andosols originated from Ando-soils in Japan. Ando in Japanese coined from the term of *"an"*; dark, and *"do"*; soil. Ando is an uncommon Japanese word literally translated as dark soil (Shoji *et al.*, 1993).

The central concept of volcanic soils according to The Third Division of Soils (1973) include the parent material is volcanic ejecta, the horizon sequence commonly shows dark-colored A horizons over brown to yellowish B horizons, the soil material is vitric and/or rich in allophane, have a low bulk density, high

exchange capacity, and high phosphate *retention*. Meanwhile the central concept of Andisols (Smith, 1978) is that of a soil developing in volcanic ash, pumice, cinders and other volcanic ejecta or in volcanoclastic materials. These soils have an exchange complex that is dominated by X-ray amorphous compounds of Al, Si, and humus, or a matrix dominated by glass, and having one or more diagnostic horizons other than ochric epipedon.

This central concept consist of two important items: the parent materials are of volcanic origin and the soils are dominated by noncrystalline materials. However, it does not include the presence *of* large amounts of dark-colored organic matter that is an essential characteristics for the central concept of Andosol in Japan. It also makes no mention of Al/Fe humus complexes that are the major form of active Al and Fe in nonallophanic Andisols (Shoji *et al.*, 1985).

Further, ICOMAND/International Committee on the Classification of Andisols *introduced* into the Keys of Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1990) the criteria of andic soil properties for soils to be classified as Andisols. Those criteria comprise that the organic carbon is less than 25%, $AI + \frac{1}{2}$ Fe with ammonium oxalate is more than 2%, bulk density is less than 0.9 gcm⁻³, and phosphate retention is more than 85%. These criteria do not mentioned as well explicitly the presence of dark-colored organic matter, but more emphasize to the organic matter percentage without any mentioning about color.

The dark color of some Andisols come from such amount of high content of organic matter from certain vegetation. The darkest forms of these top soils are called melanic epipedon (Soil Survey Staff, 1990). Such dark topsoils appear to be formed under grass vegetation that is frequently burned. The dark color is reflected in the value and chroma (moist) with Munsell Soil Color Chart that are 2 or less, with the average of organic carbon content is 6% or more and 4% or more in every horizon. The lower limit for the thickness of melanic horizon was 30 cm, but it has been revised to a cumulative thickness of 30 cm or more within a total tickness of 40 cm. Melanic Andisols proposed by Smith (1978) originated from a humus horizon. The definition of melanic epipedon centered around the thickness, color, and melanic index to describe humus characteristics, organic carbon content, and depth of melanic epipedon within the profile.

Melanic Andisols appear as the great group in Andisols ordo. Another great group with similar characteristics with melanic is fulvic. Fulvic great goup were first proposed by Ottawa (1985) to classify Andisols that having a thick humus similar to melanic epipedon, but having lighter colors than melanic. The lighter colors were reflected in the value and chroma (moist) with Munsell Soil Color Charts that more than 2 The difference color with Munsell between melanic and fulvic are due to the source of organic materials formed such epipedons. Shoji et al. (1988) informed that in Japan, melanic was formed by humus accumulation under Japanese pampas grass (Miscanthus sinensis), meanwhile fulvic was formed by humus accumulation under beech forest (Fagus crenata). Melanic Andisols have high humic acid to fulvic acid ratios and contain the A type humic acid charactererized by the highest degree of humification (Shoji, 1988). The melanic index must be 1.70 or les throughout (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). On the other hand, fulvic Andisols show low humic acid to fulvic acid ratios with a low degree of humification (Honna et al., 1988). The different color between melanic and humic Andisols are due to differences in the humus characteristics (Shoji et al., 1988).

There is a scarcity of information on melanic and fulvic Andisols on volcanic soils found in tropical regions, especially in Indonesia. This article reports the results of a study conducted on the volcanic soils derived from Mt. Tangkuban Parahu and Mt. Tilu in West Java. The purpose of this study was to investigate the presence of those great groups related to the vegetation, nature and age of parent materials from both volcanoes. The data obtained provide a picture of the characteristics of parent materials from volcanoes and the vegetation that form the soil.

This paper is to discuss the presence of melanic and fulvic Andisols on the volcanic soils derived from some volcanoes in West Java.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND PHYSIOGRAPHICAL ENVIRONMENT

The study areas *were* located in the vicinity of two volcanoes, Mt. Tangkuban Parahu and Mt. Tilu. As the parent materials, Mt. Tangkuban Parahu *was* andesitic from the Holocene age and Mt. Tilu *was* basaltic from the Pleistocene age. Mt. Tangkuban Parahu is located at 107°38'57.0" S - 06°47'07.7" E, and a summit reach 1400 m above sea level, located about 50 km from the city of Bandung to the north. Soil samples and profile description were taken from the eastern upper slope, namely as TP 1, TP 2 and TP 3. Mt. Tilu, located at 107°32'31.4" S - 07°10'49.7" E, and a summit reach 1500 m above sea level, located about 98 km from the city of Bandung to the south. Soil samples and profile descriptions were taken from the eastern upper slope, namely as TL 1, TL 2 and TL 3. The geological maps of both location and the site of soil profiles can be shown in Figure 1 and 2.

The soil profiles from both volcanoes were located under the pine forest vegetation (Figure 3). All profiles were made in the slope of 8-15%. Historically, the eruptions of both volcanoes have occurred intermittently over the years varying from flank vents to eruption of mostly moderate in sizes.

In the field, the profiles were described guided by National Soil Survey Center/NSSC (2002). Laboratory analyses were P-retention (Blakemore et al., 1987), pH H₂O and pH KCl with glass electrode (Van Reeuwijk, 1992), organic carbon in Walkley & Black (Van Reeuwijk, 1992), extractable Fe, Al and Si with acid oxalate (Blakemore et al., 1987) and bulk density (Blake and Hartge, 1986). The analysis of melanic index was done to the soils with with the value and chroma (moist) were 2 or less, in this case to the horizons 2 Ab 1 and 2 Ab 2 in TP 1; and horizons 2 Ab 2 and 2 Ab 3 in TP 2. The analysis of melanic index was based on Honna et al (1988), by measuring the absorbances at wavelength 450 nm (K 450) and 520 nm (K 520) with the spectrophotometer. The value of K 450 divided by K 520 (K 450/K 520) of 1.70 or less is the indication of the presence of melanic horizon. Soils with the value and chroma (moist) were more than 2, not necessary to be checked their melanic index since the prerequisite for colors were not fulfilled (Shoji, 1988). The analyses of P-retention, organic carbon, pH, extractable Fe, Al, and Si with acid oxalate were done in the Laboratory of

Soil Science in Ghent University, Belgium. The analyses of bulk density was done in the Laboratory of Soil Physic, Faculty of Agriculture, Padjadjaran University, Indonesia.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Soils derived from Mt. Tangkuban Parahu

The investigation of melanic and fulvic Andisols were started first with the investigation to classify the soil as Andisols through the andic soil properties (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). The result of analyses for andic soil properties for every horizon *are* presented in Table 3. The results until the depth of 60 cm must show that the organic carbon is less than 25 %; bulk density is less than 0.9 g cm⁻³, Al_o + $\frac{1}{2}$ Fe_o is more than 2 %; and P retention is more than 85%. Table 3 shows that these criteria were fully fulfilled for the whole depth, not only until the depth of 60 cm. Therefore, there were no doubt for classifying these soils as Andisols, as found as well in some others volcanic area in West Java (Devnita, 2010)

Andic criteria refered to the existence of the short range order minerals or noncrystalline minerals like allophane, imogolite, ferrihydrite and metal-humus complex in Andisols as proposed by ICOMAND/International Commitee on the Classification of Andisols (Leamy, 1988), even thougt the presence of those minerals did not mention explicitly. The organic carbon content must be high, but not more than 25%, for it will not be classified as Histosols, an order of organic soil. Accumulation of organic matter is stabilized by active Al and Fe, carbonic acid weathering (allophanic) and formation of laminar opaline silica. Therefore, preferential formation of noncrystalline materials such as allophane, imogolite, ferihydrite, and Al/Fe humus complexes is characteristic feature of the process of andosolization.

Bulk density that less than 0.9 g cm⁻³ refers to the light parent material of ash and other pyroclastic materials. No other mineral soils that have bulk density less than 0.9 g cm⁻³. (Organic soil can have bulk density less than 0.4 g cm⁻³). The bulk density of mineral soils is normally more than 1.1 g cm⁻³. The bulk density that less than 0.9 g cm⁻³ is an indication the presence of short range order minerals weathered from ash or other pyroclastic materials (Leamy *et al.*, 1990).

Aluminum and Fe extracted with acid *ammonium* oxalate are the indication to estimate the weathering rates in allophane. Aluminum released from tephra is incorporated mainly into allophane, imogolite, and Al-humus complexes in young Andisols, and can be preferiantially extracted by the acid oxalate solution. Since Al is one of the major elements present in tephras, Al contained in such noncrystalline minerals is used to estimate the rate of chemical weathering in Andisols when soil age is known (Shoji *et al.*, 1993). In *addition*, the humus content in Andisols shows a close linear relationship with Al and Fe which are complexes with humus. Therefore the rate of humus accumulation can also be estimated by Al release rates from tephras or the relationship between soil age and acid oxalate extractable Al and Fe.

Andisols have a high capacity for phosphate retention due to their high content of active Al and Fe compounds. The phosphate retention capacity of less weathered Andisols is smaller than weathered Andisols (Ito *et al.*, 1991). Fresh

tephra contain 0.004-0.6 g kg⁻¹ of acid extractable phosphorus, possibly occuring as apatite. However, the amount of acid extractable phosphorus in Andisols tends to decrease with the advance of weathering because active Al and Fe formed from weathering of the parent material react to form *insoluble* Al and Fe phosphate compounds. This result in phosphorus deficiency for crops grown on these soils.

Following the Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010), Andisols order will be followed by the suborders. Soil moisture regime is one consideration used in define the suborders. The soils in the vicinity of Mt. Tangkuban Parahu were not dry in any part as long as 90 days cumulatively, therefore been classified as udic. Udic Andisols is further abbreviated as Udands.

Melanic or fulvic Andisols come to the great group level. The investigation of melanic or fulvic Andisols were done through the organic carbon content and color in Soil Munsell Color Chart (value and chroma). Table 4 shows the organic C, color dan soil pH in every horizon within those profiles.

Investigation of melanic and fulvic Andisols were started from the organic carbon content. The organic carbon content must be 6% or more with the average of 4% or more in the A horizon with the minimum thickness of 30 cm. Profiles in Tangkuban Parahu showed that the organic carbon were more than 4% in each profiles to the depth of 30 cm. Meanwhile, the average of organic carbon content in the upper 30 cm were 5.79 % (range from 4.25 to 8.25%) in TP 1; 8,96 % (range from 8.19 to 8.97 %) in TP 2; and 8.36% (range from 5.34 to 8.97 %) in TP 3. These facts indicated that profile TP 1 *did* not fulfill the requirements of melanic or fulvic due to the average of organic carbon was less than 6%. Conversely, profile TP 2 and TP 3 had the average of organic carbon more than 6% and therefore fulfilled the requirements of organic carbon of of melanic or fulvic.

Refer to the color of Munsell Soil Color Chart, the value and chroma (moist) for melanic must be 2 or less, otherwise it will be fulvic. Both TP2 and TP3 showed that their value and chroma moist were more than 2, therefore both were fulvic Andisols.

Profile TP 1 was then classified as Haplic Udic Andisols which abbreviated as *Hapludands*, meanwhile profile TP 2 and TP were classified as Fulvic Udic Andisols, abbreviated as Fulvudands. Shoji *et al.* (1988) reported that the soils under beech forest (*Fagus crenata*) were substantially developed to fulvic Andisols. In this research, Andisols developed under pine forest (*Pinus mercusii*) had a relatively low degree of *humification* of humus accumulation. This was related to the low pH of the soils (less than 6), due to acid parent materials (andesitic) and acid exudate of pine rizhosphere as a the needle leaves tree. The low degree of humification produced a fulvic Andisols, reflected in the high content of organic carbon with light color (value and chroma were more than 2). Vegetation of *Fagus crenata* in Japan (Shoji *et al.*, 1988), *Pinus merkusii* in Indonesia, together with acid parent materials supported the development of fulvic Andisols.

The interesting things in Tangkuban Parahu's profiles were the clear indication of lithologic discontinuity, which reflected in the presence of the A burried horizons (2 Ab). An A burried horizon was previously an A horizon

which was burried by the later pyroclastic deposits from later volcanic ejecta. Actually, this is the common feature in the soils developed from volcanic materials, as the volcanoes errupt not only just once (Shoji *et al.*, 1982). Andisols occuring in the vicinity of volcanoes often develop a multisequum as soil age increase since they are formed by intermitten tephra deposits. However, within these three profiles (TP 1, TP 2 and TP 3), the presence of A burried horizons were very clear indicated by the decreasing of value and chroma compare to the overlying horizon as from 5/6, 4/6 and 4/4 to 2/2 and 2/1 in TP; from 5/4, 5/6 and 4/6 to 2/2 and 2/1 in TP 2 and from 5/4 and 4/6 to 2/2 in TP 3. Morphologically we could clearly see that their color were darker than the overlying horizon (*Figure* 4).

Base on the average of organic carbon content (*more than 6%*) and the point of value and chroma in A burried horizons (2 or less), we found the interesting feature the possibility of melanic or fulvic in the depth of 50 cm or deeper in TP1, TP2, and TP3. *The soils be analysed of their melanic index guided by Honna (1988). The results showed that the melanic index of these soils are more than 1.70 (Table 4) informs that was no melanic, but fulvic epipedon.* However, we could not consider them as fulvic epipedon, due to they were not present in the surface layer. Nevertheless, we probably could say that it was a fulvic epipedon before burried. Shoji *et al.* (1993) mentioned that A burried horizon of Andisols can indicate the paleovegetation in that area. Previously, profile TP1, TP2 and TP 3 were estimated had developed under the same pine forest vegetation as found nowadays.

Soil Derived from Mt. Tilu

As the investigation of melanic and fulvic Andisols to the soils derived from Mt. Tangkuban Parahu, the investigations to soils derived from Mt Tilu were also started first by classifying the soil as Andisols through the andic soil properties guided by Soil Survey Staf (2010). The result of analyses for andic soil properties for every horizon *are* presented in Table 5. The results showed that the criteria for andic soil properties were fulfilled till the depth of 60 cm, even fulfilled for the whole depth. As soils derived from Mt. Tangkuban Parahu, there were no doubt for classifying the soils derived from Mt. Tilu as Andisols.

Related to the nature and age of the parent materials within these locations, there were no difference between the soils produced either derived from Mt. Tangkuban Parahu (andesitic, Holocene) or Mt. Tilu (basaltic, Pleistocene). Both developed as Andisols by fulfilling all the criteria of andic soil properties. Andesitic and basaltic parent materials are both equally contributed to the high organic matter content (25% or lower), low bulk density (less than 0.9 gcm⁻³), sufficiently weathered (Al_o + $\frac{1}{2}$ Fe_o more than 2), and high P-retention (more than 85%). Parent materials from Holocene and Pleistocene ages were also equally enabled to develop and maintain Andisols in its andic soil properties for no changing to another soil order.

The difference between these locations were slightly seem through their pH (Table 4 and Table 6). Andisols in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu had the lower pH than Andisols in Mt. Tilu area. These were the reflection of their parent materials

which were more acid in Tangkuban Parahu (andesitic) compare to in Mt. Tilu (basaltic). The pH value in Tangkuban Parahu ranged in 4.38-5.64, meanwhile in Tilu ranged in 5.25-6.71. However, the pH value was not a prerequisite for classifying these soils as Andisols, therefore lower or higher pH has no influence at all.

The soils in the vicinity of Mt. Tilu were not dry in any part as long as 90 days cumulative, and therefore classified as udic. As soil moiture regime was considered as suborder (Soil Survey Staff, 2010), Andisols in Mt. Tilu could be classified as Udic Andisols (Udands). There was no difference in the suborders of Andisols in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu and Mt.Tilu, as their rainfall were fall in the same group in type B (Schmidt and Fergusson, 1951).

Melanic or fulvic Andisols come to the third level of soil classification (great group). The investigation were done through the organic carbon content and color in Soil Munsell Color Chart (value and chroma). Table 6 *shows* the organic C, color dan soil pH in every horizon within those profiles. The melanic or fulvic Andisols in the Mt. Tilu vicinity were investigated first through their organic carbon content, that must be more than 6% with the average of 4% or more till the depth of 30 cm. Profile TL2 (ranged in 3.18-7.34%, average 5.16%) did not fulfill these criteria, and *could* not been classified as melanic or fulvic Andisols. Following Soil Survey Staff (2010), this soil was classified as Haplic Udic Andisols, and abbreviated as Hapludands. Profile TL1 (range in 6.71-9.83%, average 8.67%) and TL3 (range in 8.66-10.14%, average 9.59%). Threfore profile TL1 and TL3 could been classified as melanic or fulvic Andisols.

For ensuring TL1 and TL3 as melanic or fulvic Andisol, Munsell Soil Color Chart was used *for checking* whether the value and chroma (moist) were less 2 or less. Table 6 *informs* that both profiles had value and chroma (moist) more than 2, and therefore were *not* classified as melanic but as fulvic Andisols. In accordance with the previous classification of Andisols in udic moisture regime (Udands), this Andisols is classified as fulvic udic Andisols which abbreviated as Fulvudands. If the value and chroma are 2 or less, the classification will be melanic udic Andisols which abbreviated as Melanudands.

Fulvudand and Melanudands have striking differences mainly in morphological and chemical properties relating to soil humus (Shoji *et al.*, 1988). Of the morphological properties, the difference between the two Andisols is most pronounced in the color of the humus horizon. Although the humus horizon of Fulvudand are thick and contains a high concentration (6%) of organic carbon, the color of this horizon is dark brown (value and chroma > 2). In contrast. Melanudands have darker colored humus (value and chroma \leq 2). Fulvudands reflects an Andisols with high organic carbon content with low degree of humification of humus accumulation, meanwhile Melanudands reflects a high degree humification of humus accumulation.

Soil under beech forest (*Fagus crenata*) in Japan developed to fulvic Andisols (Shoji *et al.*, 1988), meanwhile in this region we found it under pine forest (*Pinus mercusii*). Soil organic carbon was markedly accumulated in the upper part of the soil profile with more than 6 % as a weight average in the depth of 0-30 cm. It decreased significantly with depth, which related to how organic matter was added to soil surface by the plant residue of pine. The low degree of humification in the soils under pine forest was due to the acid exudate of the pine root retard the humification process.

Andisols in the Mt. Tilu vicinity also showed the lithologic discontinuity as the Andisols in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu. There were horizons of 2Ab or A burried horizons in each profiles. However, these burried horizons did not indicate nor melanic neither fulvic as the Andisols in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu, due to their organic carbon content *was* not more than 6 % in every horizons. Nevertheless, it presented a relatively higher organic carbon content than the overlying horizon (3.01 to 4.21% in TL1, 1.00 to 3.84% in TL2, 3.45 to 4.76% in TL3). It also showed a darker color which reflects in smaller value and/or chroma (5/8 to 5/6 in TL1, 5/6 to 5/4 in TL2 and 5/8 to 4/4 in TL3).

The presesence of A burried horizons *indicated* that these soils developed not only from one parent materials, but from at least two parent materials from at least two periode of eruptions. This phenomenon is commonly found in the volcanic soils. In some cases like in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu, we could indicated the paleovegetation from the A burried horizons since we found the the horizons like fulvic epipedons. Since we did not find the same phenomenon in Mt. Tilu, we could not predict the paleovegetation in this area. The age of parent material in Mt. Tilu (Pleistocene) which older than in Mt. Tangkuban Parahu (Holocene) made some charaterictics in Mt. Tilu had been changed further from their original one.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank to the Indonesian Ministry of Education and Culture c.q The Directorate General of Higher Education for funding this research. The author also thank to Prof. E. Van Ranst from Ghent University Belgium for facilitating some laboratory analyses in the Laboratory of Soil Science - Ghent University. The deeply gratitude is addressed to Ir. Ridha Hudaya, M.S., for the valuable technical support and field guidance.

References

- Alzwar, M., Akbar, N., and Bachri, S 1976. Geology of Garut Quadrangle. Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung.
- Blake, G.R. and Hartge, K.H. 1986. Bulk *Density*. In: Klute, A. (ed), *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part I. Physical and Mineralogical Methods*, p.363-375.
- Blakemore, L. C., Searle, P. L., and Daly, B. K. 1987. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Soils. Lower Hutt, New Zealand. Soil Bureau Science Report. 80 pp.
- Devnita, R., Arifin, M., Salim, E.H., Sudrajat, H., Hudaya, R., and Van Ranst, E. 2010. Soil developed on volcanic materials in West Java, Indonesia. International Journal of Arts and Sciences, 9, p.180-192.
- Honna, T., Yamamoto, S., and Matsui, K. 1988. A simple procedure to determine the melanic index that is useful for differentiating melanic from fulvic Andisols. Pedologist, 32, p.69-75.

- Imaya, A., Morisada, K., and Ohta, S. 2002. Chemical Properties and Classification of Japanese Brown Forest Soils Derived from Various Parent Materials. Proceeding on 17th WCSS, 14-21 August 2002, Thailand.
- Ito, T., Shoji, S., and Saigusa, M. 1991. Classification of volcanic ash soils from Konsen district Hokkaido according to the last Keys to Soil Taxonomy. Journal of Soil Science Plant Nutrition, 62, p.237-247.
- Leamy, M.L. 1988. International Commitee *on Andisol* (ICOMAND). Circular letter no 10. New Zealand Bereau. DSIR. Lower Hutt.
- Leamy. M.L., Kinloch, D. I. and Parfitt, R. L. 1990. International Committee on Andisol. Soil Management Support Services, Washington DC. Technical Monograph No.20. 78 pp
- National Soil Survey Center (NSSC). 2002. Field Book for Describing and Sampling Soils Version 2.0. Natural Resources Conservation Service. United State Departement of Agriculture. 219 pp.
- Ottawa, M. 1985. Criteria of great group of Andisols. In: Beinroth, F. E., Maldonado, P., and Eswaran, H., (Eds). Proc. 6th International Soil Classification Workshop, Chile and Equador. Santiago, Chile, p.191-208.
- Schmidt, T., and Fergusson, B. 1951. Climatology. *Blacksburg*, Virginia. 263 pp.
- Shoji, S. 1988. Separation of melanic and fulvic Andisols. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 34, p.303-306.
- Shoji, S., Fujiwara, Y., Yamada, I and Saigusa, M. 1982. Chemistry and clay mineralogy of Ando soils, Brown forest soils and Podzolic soils formed from recent Towada ashes, northeastern Japan. Soils Science, 33,p.69-86.
- Shoji, S., Takahashi, T., Saigusa, M., Yamada, I., and Ugolini, F.C. 1988. Properties of Spodosols and Andisols showing climosequential and biosequential relations in southern Hokkoda, North-eastern, Japan. Soil Science, 145, p.135-150.
- Shoji, S., Nanzyo, M., and Dahlgren, R. 1993. Genesis of volcanic ash soils. In: Shoji, S., Nanzyo, M and Dahlgren, R., (Eds). Volcanic Ash Soil – Genesis, Properties and Utilization. Elsevier, Amsterdam, p.37-71.
- Shoji, S., Ito, T., Saigusa, M., and Yamada, I. 1985. Properties of nonallophanic Andosols from Japan. Soil Science, 140, p.264-277.
- Shoji, S., Takahashi, T., Saigusa, M., Yamada, I., and Ugolini, F.C. 1988. Properties of Spodosols and Andisols showing climosequential and biosequential relation in southern Hakkoda, northeastern Japan. Soil Science, 145, p.135-150.
- Silitonga, P. H. 2003. Geology of Bandung Quadrangle. Geological Research and Development Centre, Bandung.
- Smith, G. D. 1978. A Preliminary Proposal for the *Reclassification* of Andept and Some Andic Subgrops. New Zealand Soil Bureau, New Zealand. 48 pp.
- Soil Survey Staff. 1990. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 4th ed. AID. USDA. SMSS. Technical Monograph No. 19. Blacksburg, Virginia. 280 pp.

- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. 11th ed. Natural Resources Conservation Service. 332 pp.
- The Third Divison of Soils. 1973. Criteria for making soil series and a list of soil series. The first approximation. National Institute of Agricultural Research.
- Van Reeuwijk, L. P. 1992. Procedure for Soil Analysis. Fourth Edition. ISRIC. Wageningen. The Netherland. 56 pp.
- World Reference Base (WRB). 2006. World Reference Based for World Soil Resources. A Framework for International Classification, Correlation and Communication. IUSS Working Group. FAO, Rome Report No. 103. 130 pp.